

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/707,706	01/06/2004	I-TANG JIANG	9885-US-PA	1705		
31561	7590 01/12/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
•	YUN INTELLECTUAL I	MOORE, KARLA A				
7 FLOOR-1, ROOSEVEL	NO. 100 T ROAD, SECTION 2	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
TAIPEI, 100 TAIWAN			1763	1763		
			DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		10/707,706		JIANG ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Karla Moore		1763			
The MAILING DATE of thi Period for Reply	s communication appe	ears on the cover s	heet with the co	rrespondence ad	idress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY I WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FRO Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing da If NO period for reply is specified above, th Failure to reply within the set or extended Any reply received by the Office later than earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	DM THE MAILING DA the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 te of this communication. e maximum statutory period wi period for reply will, by statute, three months after the mailing	TE OF THIS COM 6(a). In no event, however ill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	IMUNICATION. r, may a reply be time ((6) MONTHS from the	ely filed ne mailing date of this o (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
 Responsive to communication This action is FINAL. Since this application is in closed in accordance with 	2b)⊠ This condition for allowan	action is non-final.	• •		e merits is		
Disposition of Claims	•		·				
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are allowe 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>8-13</u> is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are object 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject	is/are withdraw d. ed. ected to.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objects 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06</u> Applicant may not request the Replacement drawing sheets 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is	January 2004 is/are: at any objection to the of s) including the correction	a)⊠ accepted or frawing(s) be held in on is required if the c	abeyance. See drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (Paper No(s)/Mail Date	ng Review (PTO-948)	Pa 5) <u> </u>	terview Summary (aper No(s)/Mail Dat otice of Informal Pa ther:		O-152)		

Ápplication/Control Number: 10/707,706 Page 2

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,346,518 to Baseman et al.
- 4. Applicant's admitted prior art discloses a cassette substantially as claimed in Figures 1-3 and comprising: an outer casing (102) with a front surface (140) having a plurality of slots (100) and two sides surfaces (130A and 130B) having a plurality of holes (104); a plurality of braces (106) positioned at corner edges inside the outer casing; a plurality of side plates (108) attached to the braces corresponding to each slot for supporting a substrate.
- 5. However, Applicant's admitted prior art fails to disclose a plurality of obstruction pieces positioned inside the outer casing to block the holes.
- 6. Baseman et al. teaches the use of obstruction pieces (Figure 15, 138) positioned in a hole of a surface of a cassette (40) for the purpose of precluding vapor contamination from entering the cassette (column 18, row 57 through column 19, row 10).

Application/Control Number: 10/707,706

Art Unit: 1763

7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the Applicant's invention was made to have provide an obstruction piece in a hole of Applicant's admitted prior art in order to preclude contamination from entering the cassette as taught by Baseman et al.

Page 3

- 8. With respect to claim 9, Applicant's admitted prior art further discloses the cassette comprising a plurality of horizontal screw sets (300), and each set of horizontal screws engages with a bottom plate of the outer casing near the hole. See Figures 1-3.
- 9. With respect to claim 10, each obstruction piece comprises a fixed part (146) and an obstructing part (140, 142, 142'), the fixed part is firmly attached to the outer casing and the obstructing part blocks the corresponding hole. See Figure 16 of Baseman et al.
- With respect to claim 11, the fixed part of the obstruction pieces are L-shaped (see Figure 16).
- 11. With respect to claim 12, the braces are set on all four corners inside the outer casing and the side plates are attached to all four braces and correspond with each slot on the same horizontal plane (see Figures 1-3 of Applicant's admitted prior art).
- 12. With respect to claim 13, the four side plates within the outer casing on the same horizontal plane corresponding to each slot support the corners of the substrate (see Figures 1-3 of Applicant's admitted prior art).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 13. Claims 1-7 are allowed.
- 14. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a cassette for hold substrates as claimed and specifically comprising: a plurality of obstruction pieces positioned inside an outer casing of the cassette corresponding to holes at a bottom section of the sidewalls of the outer casing, wherein each obstruction piece comprises a fixed part and an obstructing part, the fixed part attaches firmly to a bottom plate of the outer casing, and the obstructing part blocks the corresponding hole.

· Application/Control Number: 10/707,706

Art Unit: 1763

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment

Page 4

of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such

submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

USP 5,638,958 and USP 6,540,467 disclose a cassettes for keeping wafers in place in a cassette and

thus preventing damage to the wafers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Karla Moore whose telephone number is 571.272.1440. The examiner can normally be

reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 am-6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on 571.272.1435. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karla Moore
Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1763

9 January 2006